This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/008,346 11/09/2001 James G. Montagnino 29002-11260 3380 33042 7590 10/06/2003 **EXAMINER** LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. GIBSON, RANDY W (SEATTLE OFFICE) ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA **SUITE 4900** 2841

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/008,346	MONTAGNINO E	MONTAGNINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Randy W. Gibson_	2841		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ce of Informal Patent Application (P er: .		

Application/Control Number: 10/008,346

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of what appears to be a trademark, namely "BCM 300 Granite", has been noted in this application; but there is no trademark designation such as the "TM" superscript (for a common law trademark) or the "®." symbol (for a trademark registered with the Patent & Trademark Office). Clarification is required.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. A trademark should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Germantown *et al.* (U.S. # 5,886,302) in view of Swersey *et al.* (U.S. # 4,487,276), Hübler *et al.* (U.S. # 6,265,675 B1), and applicant's own admission of prior art. Germanton *et al.* discloses a platform as claimed and described (Fig. 5) made of

Application/Control Number: 10/008,346

Art Unit: 2841

various conventional thermosetting materials (Col. 7, lines 47-65) with at least two load cells (Col. 3, lines 36-49), and a weight indicator (Col. 4, lines 27-61). Germanton et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the use of the specific fiber-filled polyester thermosetting polymer material. However, it is known in the weighing scale art to make a load bearing platform from a fiber re-enforced thermosetting polymer for strength as shown by the examples of Swersey et al. (Col. 4, lines 30-42) and Hübler et al. (Col. 6, lines 19-21). Furthermore, applicant has admitted that the fiber-filled polyester thermosetting polymer material he used is a conventional off-the-shelf material called "BCM 300 Granite", supplied by Bulk Molding Compounds (pp. 19-20), which has known properties; see In re Nomiya, 509 F.2d 566, 184 USPQ 607, 611 (CCPA 1975); and, MPEP § 2129. The examiner notes that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945) ("Reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious than selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig-saw puzzle." 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301.). Therefore it would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to use the specific type of material claimed to manufacture the platform of Germantown et al. based on the material's known suitability for its art recognized intended use. See MPEP §§ 2144.06 & 2144.07.

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-5115.

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841